Emerging Respirable Dust Control Technologies for Continuous Mining Sections



Jay Colinet

Principal Mining Engineer Pittsburgh Mining Research Division





Presentation Outline

- Quartz in underground coal mine samples
- Roof bolter control technologies
 - dry scrubber
 - wet collector box
- Shuttle car control technologies
 - canopy air curtain
- Best Practices handbook

MSHA inspector samples analyzed for quartz (January 1, 2000 – July 31, 2014)

Occupation	Occupation Code(s)	Total number of samples	Number with > 5% quartz	Percent with > 5% quartz
Roof bolter operator	012, 014, 019, 046, 048	2,458	1,510	61.4
Designated Area (DA)*	Entity Number 9xxx	15,822	11,965	75.6
Roof bolter operator (all)	RBO + DA	18,280	13,475	73.7
Continuous miner operator	036	29,239	11,726	40.1
Jacksetter	041	717	263	36.7
Tailgate shearer operator	044	1,852	434	23.4

* Per MSHA, DA samples with an entity number beginning with a 9 represents a roof bolter operator sample

Data source: MSHA Data Set – 16. Quartz Samples <u>https://arlweb.msha.gov/OpenGovernmentData/OGIMSHA.asp</u>

Concern: roof bolter operators' dust exposure when downwind of continuous miner

Research goal: provide filtered air to bolter operators

Awarded contract to J.H. Fletcher to develop a stand-alone dust scrubber.

Test unit specifications:

- remote controlled
- crawler trammed
- 30 hp vane axial fan with VFD control
- 3,000 to 9,000 cfm capacity
- 4 ft wide x 4 ft high x 16 ft long
- dual 28-inch O.D. disposable filters rated at 99% efficiency for 2 μm particles





Lab tests at PMRD dust gallery

- scrubber desired airflow set to 3,000 and 9,000 cfm
- measured airflow = 2,982 and 8,868 cfm
- after 8 hours of dust testing, airflow = 8,500 cfm
- forced all dust-laden airflow through the scrubber
- respirable dust reduction > 95% at each airflow



Dust sampling: — upwind downwind —



UG test results

- testing conducted on right side of two super sections
- blowing face ventilation with flooded-bed scrubber on CM
- dry scrubber placed in last open crosscut at last entry, 90° discharge adapter utilized

90°

- scrubber collection efficiency similar to lab performance mid 90s
- face dust levels reduced by 50%





Underground testing of dry scrubber and potential applications



DS1 – location of dry scrubber for underground testing

S – sampling locations used to determine dust reduction at face of 50%

- **DS2** sampling location to reduce dust for roof bolter operators
- DS3 sampling location to reduce dust for everyone downwind of continuous miner

Roof bolter control technologies – wet collector box

Concern: roof bolter operators' dust exposure when cleaning dust collector box

Short duration dust exposure event but:

- released close to operator's breathing zone
- often high silica content
- occurs multiple times per shift
- contaminates clothing rerelease of dust

Research goal: reduce dust exposure during collector box cleaning

Potential solutions

- dust collector bags
- wet collector box



Roof bolter control technologies – wet collector box

Wet collector box

- utilizes same vacuum system to pull dust to collector box
- remove pre-cleaner (outside of the box) and cyclone (inside the box)
- install drain hole, rotary valve at drain, angled side walls
- install water spray directed at dust inlet
- spray operated at 0.5 2.0 gpm and 100 psi during testing
- rotary valve was activated with control lever at operator's work position to drain sludge from box
- water resistant filter substituted for normal cartridge filter
- tapped into CM water line to supply water to bolter





Roof bolter control technologies – wet collector box

Underground testing

- compared wet collector (left side) and dry collector (right side)
- collector bags were not used on dry side
- sampled 3 shifts with a total of over 300 bolts installed
- bolter operators wore dust sampling vest during box clean out
- dust exposure during wet box cleaning was 80% lower than dry box cleaning, while average quartz in the sampled dust was 7.4% for the dry box and 0.0 for the wet box
- collector box should be opened and remaining material hosed out after bolting a face
- bottom photo shows more than usual material remaining in wet box... operator did not fully open the discharge valve





Concern: shuttle car operators are in return air when blowing face ventilation is used

NIOSH sampling showed average of 0.85 mg/m³ higher dust levels and up to 2.0 mg/m³ with blowing ventilation

Research goal: adapt canopy air curtain technology from roof bolters for use on shuttle cars

Awarded contract to Marshall University and J.H. Fletcher







Laboratory testing at PMRD dust gallery

- 18 x 18 x 2.5-inch air curtain with 4208 discharge holes (3/32-inch in diameter)
- internal honeycomb flow straightener to provide more uniform flow
- powered by hydraulically driven blower
- evaluated dust levels in simulated center and end drive cabs
- at 120 fpm entry air velocity, 74% dust reduction for center drive cab and 83% reduction for end drive cab



Underground testing on super section

- blowing face ventilation with scrubber on CM
- scrubber discharge on same side as ram car operators
- air curtain mounted under the canopy on a ram car
- blower and MERV 11 filter temporarily positioned on top of ram car
- provided airflow of over 300 cfm through air curtain

MERV 11: 65 – 79% efficient on 1 – 3 μ m particles and \geq 85% on 3 – 10 μ m particles





Underground test results

- compare dust levels outside of cab to ram car operator dust levels
- light-scattering dust sampling (2 seconds) corrected with gravimetric references
- time study conducted at continuous miner and feeder to define operating segments





Sampling location

Updating Best Practices handbook





Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health Thank you.

Questions?

Jay Colinet 412-386-6825 JColinet@cdc.gov





Disclaimer: The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official position of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Mention of any company or product does not constitute endorsement by NIOSH, CDC.